Attractor dynamics approach to behavior generation: vehicle motion

Gregor Schöner, INI, RUB

Braitenberg: behavior emerges from a dynamics

- feedforward nervous system
- + closed loop through environment
- => (behavioral) dynamics



Basic ideas of attractor dynamics approach

- behavioral variables
- time courses from dynamical system: attractors
- tracking attractors
- bifurcations for flexibility

Behavioral variables: example

- vehicle moving in 2D: heading direction



Behavioral variables: example

- constraints: obstacle avoidance and target acquisition



Behavioral variables

- describe desired motor behavior
- “enactable”
- express constraints as values/value ranges
- appropriate level of invariance

Behavioral dynamics

- generate behavior by generating time courses of behavioral variables
- generate time course of behavioral variables from attractor solutions of a (designed) dynamical system
- that dynamical system is constructed from contributions expressing behavioral constraints

Behavioral dynamics: example

- behavioral constraint: target acquisition





Behavioral dynamics: example

- behavioral constraint: obstacle avoidance





Behavioral dynamics

- each constribution is a "force-let" with
  - specified value
  - strength
  - range



Behavioral dynamics

- multiple constraints: superpose "force-lets"
- fusion





Behavioral dynamics

- decision making





Behavioral dynamics

- Bifurcations switch between fusion and decision making



Behavioral dynamics

- an example closer to "real life": bifurcations in obstacle avoidance and target acquisition
- constraints not in conflict



Behavioral dynamics

- constraints in conflict



Behavioral dynamics

- transition from "constraints not in conflict" to "constraints in conflict" is a bifurcation



robotic demo











Observation:

- even though the approach is purely local, it does achieve global tasks
- based on the structure of the environment!

Behavioral dynamics

- Such design of decision making is only possible because system "sits" in attractor.
- This reduces the difficult design of the full flow (ensemble of all transient solutions) of non-linear dynamical systems to the easier design of attractors (bifurcation theory).

Behavioral dynamics

- But how may complex behavior be generated while "sitting" in an attractor?
- Answer: force-lets depend on sensory information and sensory information changes as the behavior unfolds


heading direction
target
obstacle
vehicle
dϕ/dt
ϕ
dϕ/dt
ϕ

How does this work in practice?

- high-level implementation: knowledge about objects in the world ("obstacles", "targets", etc)



How does this work in practice?

- low-level implementation: use sensory information directly, not via objects



Summary

- behavioral variables
- attractor states for behavior
- attractive force-let: target acquisition
- repulsive force-let: obstacle avoidance
- bistability/bifurcations: decisions
- can be implemented with minimal requirements for perception