Operations in Multi-Dimensional Neural Fields

Summer School Neural Dynamics for Cognitive Robotics

August 2014



Sebastian Schneegans sebastian.schneegans@ini.rub.de

Multi-dimensional fields



- extension to multi-dimensional feature spaces mathematically straightforward
- requires interaction kernel of the same dimensionality

- multi-dimensional fields retain the same qualitative instabilities and stable states as onedimensional fields
- specifically:
 - activation peaks stabilized by interactions
 - detection and selection decision
 - self-sustained peaks as working memory

Multi-dimensional feature spaces





neural activity in superior colliculus [Marino, Trappenberg, Dorris, Munoz 2012]

- some feature spaces are inherently multi-dimensional, simple example: visual space (2D)
- neural representations e.g. in superior colliculus (saccade planning)

Multi-dimensional feature spaces

- multi-dimensional feature spaces can also combine qualitatively different features
- example: early visual cortex, neurons with localized spatial receptive fields and sensitivity to surface features (orientation, spatial frequency, color, ...)
- 3D representation (2D space + 1D surface feature) mapped onto 2D cortical surface



orientation map in tree shrew visual cortex [Alexander et al. 1999]

Combining features in multi-dimensional fields



 neural fields defined over combinations of feature spaces

- requires to define metrics for lateral interactions in combined space
- fields ignore spatial arrangement of neurons on the cortical surface

Combining features in multi-dimensional fields



- visual stimuli can provide localized inputs
- ideally from feature detectors (like neurons in retina/visual cortex), in robotics often from algorithmic feature extraction

2D fields from camera input



- representation of full camera image/retinal input would require 3D field: two spatial and one color dimension
- can ignore one spatial dimension when only horizontal object position is relevant (e.g. for control of robot orientation on a planar surface)



Reading out from 2D fields



- 2D fields can interact with 1D fields
- first operation: read out of one feature dimension: integrate over discarded dimensions, e.g.

$$I_s(x) = \int f(u_v(x, y)) dy$$

 often additional Gaussian convolution in projection for smoothness

Projections to 2D fields



- projection from 1D to 2D: ridge input
- does not specify a location in the 2nd dimension, does not typically induce a peak (although field can be forced to form a peak)

Projections to 2D fields



 intersections of ridges can induce a peak and produce a combined representation of multiple features separate low-dimensional representations

- are much more compact (computationally less expensive / fewer neurons) – at sampling rate of 100 neurons per dimension, 200 neurons for two 1D fields, 10000 neurons for one 2D field)
- can represent individual feature values with the same precision/reliability as a 2D field

So why use 2D fields at all?

Feature conjunctions



- low-dimensional representations do not capture feature conjunctions (binding problem)
- multiple ridge inputs can produce spurious peaks

Combined vs. separate representations

- multi-dimensional field are needed to represent feature conjunctions
- combining low-dimensional and high-dimensional fields can yield powerful architectures
- example: visual search, determine the location of an object with specified features

Visual search



- if localized peaks are present in the 2D field, ridge input can be used to select one of them
- read-out along the 2nd dimension then allows to determine the associated feature

Visual search



- if multiple items match the specified feature value, all are amplified
- gradual strengthening for partial match
- further operations on output dependent on task: selection or representations of multiple results

Joint selection with bidirectional projections



- bidirectional projections allow coupled selection in 1D fields
- can be biased by input to either 1D field

Joint selection with bidirectional projections



- once a single item is selected jointly in both 1D fields, ambiguity in feature conjunctions is resolved
- object features can then be processed in separate pathways
- sequential processing for multiple items

Demonstration: ridge inputs, peaks from intersections, associations





Video



[Schneegans, Spencer, Schöner, Hwang, Hollingworth, in preparation]

Operations in higher-dimensional fields

 projections between fields can implement simple mappings if they meet certain conditions (e.g. continuity)



 what about operations that combine two different inputs? projections from two sources to a common field only allow additive combination of inputs



Operations in higher-dimensional fields

 combining/expanding representations into a single highdimensional field allows arbitrary mappings to an output field (as long as mapping is continuous)



Example: Reference frame transformation



- eyes/camera only provide spatial information in retinal reference frame
- for orientation, gaze-invariant locations often more relevant

Reference frame transformations

- gaze-invariant (e.g., body-centered) location information can be determined from combination of gaze direction and retinal locations
- for pure rotation and angular positions, reference frame transformation is simple shift
- can be expressed arithmetically as addition of vectors:

 $p_{inv} = p_{cam} + p_{gaze}$

 but DNF representations based on population codes instead of numeric vectors, and fixed synaptic connections instead of arithmetic operations



 for transformation of 1D location information: 2D field over retinal space and gaze direction





- in angular coordinates for pure rotations: ego-centric stimulus position shifts by inverse of orientation change
- → points corresponding to the same location lie on a diagonal in the combined representation





Case Study: Saccadic Remapping Model

Video

Case Study: Saccadic Remapping Model



Case Study: Saccadic Remapping Model

Condition





Saccade moves stimulus out of RF



Saccade brings former stimulus position into RF

Experimental results (average spike rate of single-cell recording in LIP)







Simulation results (field output at one retinocentric position)



[Schneegans, Schöner 2012; experimental results by Duhamel et al. 1992]

Spatial alignment for orientation estimation



Conclusions

- higher-dimensional fields can represent multiple feature dimensions in a combined fashion
- more costly than low-dimensional fields, but needed to represent feature conjunctions rather than separate feature values
- associations between feature dimensions via higherdimensional fields, e.g. for visual search
- higher-dimensional fields can implement complex mappings between feature dimensions, e.g. for spatial transformations