Neural Dynamics For Embodied Cognition

Lecturers: Daniel Sabinasz Raul Grieben Gregor Schöner Tutors: Minseok Kang Stephan Sehring Richard Koebe Timon Kunze

Institute for Neural Computation (INI) Faculty of Computer Science Ruhr-University Bochum

Survey

Session I: Foundations

Neural dynamics/neural fields [Gregor Schöner]

Introduction to Cedar/Instabilities in DFT [Daniel Sabinasz]

Session 2: Dimensions/Binding [Raul Grieben]



Survey

Session 3: Grounded Cognition [Daniel Sabinasz]

Cedar architecture: relational grounding

Session 4: Sequence generation

Sequence generation/Embedding DFT [Gregor Schöner]

Cedar architecture sequence generation




Neuro-physicsNeural dynamicsRecurrent neural dynamics


Neural fields: dynamics

Neuro-physics

- membrane potential, u(t), evolves as a dynamical system $\tau \dot{u}(t) = -u(t) + h + \operatorname{input}(t)$ $\tau \approx 10 \text{ ms time scale}$
- only when membrane potential exceeds a threshold is activation transmitted to downstream neurons



- spiking mechanism replaced by a threshold function
- that captures the effective transmission of spikes in populations




replace spiking mechanism by sigmoid:

low levels of activation: not transmitted to downstream systems


high levels of activation: transmitted to downstream systems

abstracting from biophysical details ~ population level membrane potential




Connectionism


employs the same abstraction: "neurons" sum input activations and pass them through a sigmoidal threshold function




output



dynamical system: the present determines the future
 fixed point = constant solution = stationary state
 stable fixed point = attractor: nearby solutions converge to the fixed point






($\sigma(u)$ and g(u) used interchangeably)


u

- so far, the dynamics just does low-pass filtering... (smoothing the time course)
- that would change as a step-function in a forward neural network
- when does neural dynamics make a real difference?





Neuronal dynamics with excitatory recurrent connection = interaction

- in recurrent networks, time is conceptually necessary as some inputs are outputs from the same neuron/population ...
- "past outputs are new input"
- => dynamics




 $\tau \dot{u}(t) = -u(t) + h + s(t) + c \ \sigma(u(t))$









- at intermediate input levels: bistable dynamics
- "on" vs "off" state






Neuronal dynamics with self-excitation

decreasing input
strength => reverse
detection instability



```
Neuronal dynamics with self-excitation
```

the detection and its reverse create events at discrete times from time-continuous changes



=> simulation

OXFORD SERIES IN DEVELOPMENTAL COGNITIVE NEUROSCIENCE




dynamicfieldtheory.org

Dynamic Thinking

Gregor Schöner, John P. Spencer, and the DFT Research Group

OXFORD

Neuronal dynamics with inhibitory recurrent connectivity




coupling/interaction

$$\tau \dot{u}_1(t) = -u_1(t) + h + s_1(t) - c_{12}\sigma(u_2(t))$$

$$\tau \dot{u}_2(t) = -u_2(t) + h + s_2(t) - c_{21}\sigma(u_1(t))$$

Neuronal dynamics with inhibitory recurrent connectivity

> competition/selection
two possible attractor stats
u₂ > 0 and u₁ < 0
u₂ < 0 and u₁ > 0



$$\tau \dot{u}_1(t) = -u_1(t) + h + s_1(t) - c_{12}\sigma(u_2(t))$$

$$\tau \dot{u}_2(t) = -u_2(t) + h + s_2(t) - c_{21}\sigma(u_1(t))$$

=> simulation

OXFORD SERIES IN DEVELOPMENTAL COGNITIVE NEUROSCIENCE



dynamicfieldtheory.org


Dynamic Thinking

Gregor Schöner, John P. Spencer, and the DFT Research Group

OXFORD

Neural dynamics of fields

- generalize this idea... combining detection with selection
- detection: self-excitation => location excitation
- selection: => global inhibition
- continuously many activation variables, organized along some dimension, x





dimension

Neural dynamics of fields

kernel: local excitatory interaction/ global inhibitory interaction

$$w(x - x') = w_{\text{exc}}e^{-\frac{(x - x')^2}{2\sigma^2}} - w_{\text{inh}}$$





$$\tau \dot{u}(x,t) = -u(x,t) + h + s(x,t) + \int dx' \ w(x-x') \ \sigma(u(x'))$$

=> simulation

OXFORD SERIES IN DEVELOPMENTAL COGNITIVE NEUROSCIENCE



dynamicfieldtheory.org

Dynamic Thinking

Gregor Schöner, John P. Spencer, and the DFT Research Group

OXFORD

Attractors and their instabilities

- input driven solution (subthreshold)
- self-stabilized solution (peak, supra-threshold)
- selection / selection instability
- working memory / memory instability
- boost-driven detection instability

detection instability reverse detection instability

Noise is critical near instabilities

Dynamic regimes

which attractors and instabilities arise as input patterns are varied

examples

- "perceptual regime": mono-stable sub-threshold => bistable sub-threshold/peak => mono-table peak..
- "working memory regime" bistable sub-threshold/peak
 => mono-table peak.. without mono-stable sub-threshold
- single ("selective") vs. multi-peak regime



Neuro-physicsNeural dynamicsRecurrent neural dynamics

Neural fields: dynamics